



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MN

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,833	04/16/2004	Vadim Draluk	CS24752RL	1719
20280	7590	05/31/2007	EXAMINER	
MOTOROLA INC			LE, UYEN T	
600 NORTH US HIGHWAY 45			ART UNIT	
ROOM AS437			PAPER NUMBER	
LIBERTYVILLE, IL 60048-5343			2163	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/31/2007		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/826,833	DRALUK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Uyen T. Le	2163

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 October 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 16 April 2004.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claims 3-6, 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: the client device determines whether the characteristic includes a URI parameter but does not include an OP parameter or a DATA parameter. Claims 3, 9 as written merely require identifying at least one of URI, OP and DATA parameter.

Furthermore, at claims 4, 10, it is not clear what applicant meant by “appending the URI parameter”. It seems that the client provisioning document already includes the URI parameter.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 4, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. It is not understood to where the URI parameter is appended. Paragraph

0031 of the specification merely repeats the claim language of “appending the URI parameter” without further explanation.

Art rejection is not being applied to claims 4-6, 10-12 because the limitations cannot be ascertained.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 3-6, 8-12 are further objected to because of the following informalities:

- the abbreviations URI, OP have to be spelled out at their first occurrence in the claim language for example-- Uniform Resource Identifier (URI)--
- claim 8, line 2, it appears that “device” should be –document—for the claim to make sense.

Appropriate corrections are required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-3, 7-9, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a), (e) as being anticipated by Pedersen et al (US 2004/0158619).

Regarding claim 1, Pedersen discloses all the claimed subject matter including “receiving a client provisioning document from a source” (see the abstract, Figures 1-4), “identifying a device management characteristic from the client provisioning document” (see 0112 identifying URI parameter), “storing data based on the device management characteristic of the client provisioning document to a device management tree” (see 0073, 0114, 0117).

Regarding claim 7, Pedersen discloses “a client device of a communication system including a provisioning and management framework configured to receive a client provisioning document, the client provisioning document includes a device management characteristic” (see the abstract).

Regarding claims 2, 8, Pedersen discloses receiving the client provisioning document from a remote server over a wireless communication link (see 0001-0004).

Regarding claim 3, 9, Pedersen discloses identifying a URI parameter (see 0112).

Regarding claim 13, Pedersen discloses “a device management engine communicating with the provisioning and management framework, the device management engine being configured to update a device management tree based on the device management characteristic of the client provisioning document” (see 0073, 0114).

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kaapa et al (US 2004/0123241) teach determining the priority of a management object in a device management system.

Mittal (US 2004/0043788) teach management of parameters in a removable user identity module.

Oommen et al (US 2003/0103484) teach retrieving mobile node logic tree information.

Zhu (US 2005/0055453) teaches automatic conversion from WAP client provisioning XML represented objects to OMA DM tree structure represented objects.

McKeown et al (US 2005/0027851) teach broadband communications including a provisioning tool.

McKeown et al (US 2004/0261116) teach provisioning of services in a communications network.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Uyen T. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-4021. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on 571-272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

24 May 2007


UYEN LE
PRIMARY EXAMINER